DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS SOLICITATION, OFFER AND AWARD FOR SUPPLIES, OR SERVICES

FOR SUPPLIES, OR SERVICES **ISSUED BY:** DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS DATE ISSUED: August 27, 2013 ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIVISION PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACTS BRANCH OPENING DATE: _____ 616 H STREET, N.W., ROOM 622 OPENING TIME: _____ WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001 **CLOSING DATE: September 17, 2013** CLOSING TIME: 2:00 P.M. SOLICITATION NUMBER: DCSC-13-RP-0028 OFFER/BID FOR: Consultant Services --**MARKET TYPE: Open Market** Implementation of the DC Courts' New Organizational Values **TABLE OF CONTENTS** DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION (X) SEC. PAGE (X) SEC. **PAGE** Special Contract Requirements Solicitation/Offer/Award Form X X Η 25 Α 1 Contract Clauses Supplies or Services & Price /Cost X 28 X В 6 Ι List of Attachments X C Description/Specs. Work Statement 17 X J 31 X Packaging and Marking 18 X K Representation Certificates 32 D Inspection and Acceptance 19 Instructions, Conditions, Notices X Е X L 35 20 X F Deliveries and Performance X M Evaluation Factors for Award 46 22 G Contract Administration Data OFFER (TO BE COMPLETED BY OFFEROR) Note: In sealed bid solicitations "Offer" and "Offeror" mean Bid" and Bidder." The undersigned offers and agrees that, with respect to all terms and conditions accepted by the District of Columbia Courts under "AWARD" below, this offer and the provisions of the RFP/IFB will constitute a Formal Contract. **OFFEROR** Name and title of Person Authorized to Sign Offer: (Type or Print) Name: Street: Signature Date: City, State: Zip Code: (Seal) Impress Corporate Area Code & Telephone Number: Seal Corporate (Seal) (Secretary) (Attest) **AWARD** (To be completed by the District of Columbia Courts) CONTRACT NO._____AWARD AMOUNT \$_____ ACCEPTED AS TO THE FOLLOWING ITEMS: DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS

CONTRACT PERIOD:

CONTRACTING OFFICER

AWARD DATE

All written communications regarding this solicitation should be addressed to the Contracting Officer and should be directed by e-mail to Darlene D. Reynolds, CPPB, Senior Contract Specialist at darlene.reynolds@dcsc.gov.

REPRESENTATIONS, CERTIFICATIONS, AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

1. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF AMENDMENTS

The Offeror acknowledges receipt of Addenda to the solicitation and related documents numbered and dated as follows:

AMENDMENT NO.	DATE	AMENDMENT NO.	DATE

NOTE: Offeror may acknowledge addendum here or on addendum or both.

2. WALSH-HEALY ACT

If your offer is \$10,000 or more, the following information **MUST** be furnished:

- (a) Regular Dealer
 - () The Offeror is a Regular Dealer pursuant to Clause 28 of the District of Columbia Courts General Contract Provisions.
 - () The Offeror is not a Regular Dealer pursuant to Clause 28 of the District of Columbia Courts General Contract Provisions.
- (b) Manufacturer
 - () The Offeror is a Manufacturer pursuant to Clause 28 of the District of Columbia Courts General Contract Provisions.

() The Offeror is not a Manufacturer pursuant to Clause 28 of the District of Columbia Courts General Contract Provisions.

3. BUY AMERICAN CERTIFICATION

The Offeror hereby certifies that each end product, except the end products listed below, is a domestic end product (as defined in Clause 28 of the District of Columbia Courts General Contract Provisions), and that components of unknown origin are considered to have been mined, produced, or manufactured outside the United States.

EXCLUDED END PRODUCTS COUNTRY OR ORIGIN

4. OFFICERS NOT TO BENEFIT CERTIFICATION

Each Offeror shall check one of the following:

- ____ (a) No person listed in Clause 21 of the District of Columbia Courts General Contract Provisions will benefit from this contract.
- ____(b) The following person(s) listed in Clause 21 of the District of Columbia Courts General Contract Provisions may benefit from this contract. For each person listed, attach the affidavit required by Clause 21 of the District of Columbia Courts General Contract Provisions.

5. CERTIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT PRICE DETERMINATION

- (a) Each signature on the offer is considered to be a certification by the signatory that:
 - (1) The prices in this offer have been arrived at independently, without, for the purpose of restricting competition, any consultation, communication, or agreement with any offeror or competitor relating to (i) those prices, (ii) the intention to submit an offer, or (iii) the methods or factors used to calculate the prices offer;
 - (2) The prices in this offer have not been and will not be knowingly disclosed by the Offeror, directly or indirectly, to any other offeror or competitor before offer opening unless otherwise required by law; and
 - (3) No attempt has been made or will be made by the Offeror to induce any other concern to submit or not to submit an offer for the purpose of

restricting competition.

	(b)	Each significant the significant the significant the significant the significant three significant thr	_	e on the offer is considered to be a certification by the signatory that			
		(1)	prices partici	person in the Offeror's organization responsible for determining the being offered in this offer, and that the signatory has not pated and will not participate in any action contrary to agraphs (a) (1) through (a) (3) above; or			
		(2)	(i)	Has been authorized, in writing, to act as agent for the following principals in certifying that those principals have not participated, and will not participate in any action contrary to subparagraphs (a) (1) through (a) (3) above:			
				(insert full name or person(s) in the organization responsible for determining the prices offered in this offer and the title of his or her position in the Offeror's organization);			
			(ii)	As an authorized agent, does certify that the principals named in subdivision (b) (2) (1) above have not participated, and will not participate, in any action contrary to subparagraphs (a) (1) through (a) (3) above; and			
			(iii)	As an agent, has not participated, and will not participate, in any action contrary to subparagraphs (a) (1) through (a) (3) above.			
	(c)		s offer a	etes or modifies subparagraph (a) (2) above, the Offeror must furnish a signed statement setting forth in detail the circumstances of the			
6.	ТҮРЕ	OF BU	JSINES	SS ORGANIZATION			
	() a c	corporat	ion, inc) an individual, () a partnership, () a nonprofit organization, corporated under the laws of the State of, other.			

7. PAYMENT IDENTIFICATION NO.

Please list below applicable vendor information:

The District of Columbia Courts utilizes an automated vendor database. All firms are required to submit their Federal Tax Identification Number. Individuals must submit their social security numbers.

Federal Tax Identification Number:Or
Social Security Number:
Dun and Bradstreet Number:
Legal Name of Entity Assigned this Number:
Street Address and/or Mailing Address:
City, State, and Zip Code:
Type of Business:
Telephone Number:
Fax Number:

PAYMENTS UNDER TERMS OF ANY CONTRACT RESULTING FROM THIS SOLICITATION WILL BE HELD IN ABEYANCE PENDING RECEIPT OF A VALID FEDERAL TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OR SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER.

PART I SECTION B - SUPPLIES OR SERVICES AND PRICE/COST

- B.1 The District of Columbia Courts, the Center for Education and Training (CET) and the DC Courts' Office of Strategic Planning have a need for one (1) or more consultants to assist with organizational change efforts to include the development of strategies, review of existing policies and procedures related to implementing organizational values, facilitation of meetings, professional development for targeted groups of employees and provision of briefing sessions for the DC Courts employees.
- B.2 Requirements under this solicitation are divided into five (5) tasks. Award(s) for this contract may result in several phases, depending upon availability of funds. The contract will be awarded to one or more than one contractor for various tasks. Contractor(s) shall provide offers for each task they are interested in performing. Contractor(s) shall provide price information for each task for the Base Year, Option Year One and Option Year Two to be considered for award.
- B.3 The Offeror shall submit a price breakdown for the services specified below and in accordance with Section C, Scope of Services, of this Request For Proposals (RFP).

B.4 CONTRACT PRICE:

- B.4.1 The Offeror shall provide the services at an firm-fixed unit price for contract line items listed under each of the five tasks; with not to exceed limits.
- B.4.2 The Offeror shall provide a breakdown, in his price proposal submission, of the total prices for each fixed priced CLIN. The Offeror shall include estimated costs, i.e. tools, materials individually priced in the not-to-exceed column, along with labor rates for each labor category proposed under that line item. The DC Courts has established a cost reimbursement line on the Price Schedule is not-to- exceed (NTE) \$20,000.00 for the base year; NTE \$5,000.00 for Option Year One and NTE \$5,000.00 for Option Year Two for actual expenses incurred for materials and supplies required to fill the requirements listed under this contract.
- B.4.3 **Pricing, Hourly Labor Rates:** The Offeror shall submit its total estimated price for all CLINs listed under each task bidded. Any additional labor categories proposed by the Offeror shall be added to the Price Schedule by the Offeror and a corresponding hourly labor rate shall be included. The Offeror shall submit a breakdown of the proposed job titles for each labor category proposed, hourly labor rates, and labor hours for all labor categories and all equipment and supplies utilized for the performance under this contract. The fully burdened labor applies to all direct labor hours, which includes labor performed by all personnel (including subcontractor) actually engaged in the direct performance of work required under this contract.

B.5 BASE YEAR CONTRACT PRICE: Contract Price Schedule

BASE YEAR

CI IN	7 7. 1	T 1	TT 1	Estimated	T . 1 C
CLIN	Tasks	Labor	Hourly	Number of	Total Cost
No.		Category	Rate	Hours	
0001	Task One—Design	i.e. Lead	•		\$
0001	an organizational	Consultant,	Ψ		Ψ
	culture change	Senior	\$		\$
	strategy customized	Consultant	Ψ		Ψ
	for DC Courts	and/or Junior	S		\$
	101 20 000115	Consultant	Ψ		Ψ
0002	Task Two—Provide	<u> </u>			
0002	professional		\$		\$
	development,				' <u></u>
	facilitation and		\$		\$
	support for DC				
	Courts		\$		\$
	Organizational				
	Values Council				
	Members and				
	Ambassadors				
0003	Task Three—				
	Identify and develop		\$		\$
	recommendations for				
	institutional policy		\$		\$
	and procedural				
	changes to eliminate		\$		\$
	barriers that impede				
	the institutionalization				
	of the values and				
	encourage				
	incorporation of the				
	values into DC				
	Courts culture				
0004	Task Four—Design,				
	develop and		\$		\$
	facilitate or co-				
	facilitate employee		\$		\$
	briefing and				

	discussion sessions	\$	 \$
0005	Task Five— Design, develop and facilitate professional development activities for DC Courts' frontline supervisors,	\$ \$ \$	 \$ \$ \$
	managers and branch chiefs		
			Not- to-Exceed Amount
0006	Cost Reimbursable for materials, supplies, equipment as required to fulfill requirements listed under SOW in Section C		

B.5.A Contract Price Schedule

Option	ı Year	One
--------	--------	-----

CLIN No.	Tasks	Labor Category	Hourly Rate	Estimated Number of	Total Cost
				Hours	
0001	Task One—	i.e. Lead	\$		\$
	Design an	Consultant,			
	organizational	Senior	\$		\$
	culture change	Consultant			
	strategy	and/or Junior	\$		\$
	customized for	Consultant			
	DC Courts				
0002	Task Two—				
	Provide		\$		\$
	professional				
	development,		\$		\$
	facilitation and				

		ф	Φ.
	support for DC	\$	 \$
	Courts		
	Organizational		
	Values Council		
	Members and		
	Ambassadors		
222			
0003	Task Three—		
	Identify and	\$	 \$
	develop		
	recommendatio	\$	\$
	ns for	T	 T
	institutional	\$	\$
		Ψ	 Ψ
	policy and		
	procedural		
	changes to		
	eliminate		
	barriers that		
	impede the		
	institutionalizat		
	ion of the		
	values and		
	encourage		
	incorporation		
	of the values		
	into DC Courts		
	culture		
0004	Task Four—		
0004		\$	\$
	Design	Φ	 Φ
	Develop and		
	facilitate or co-	\$	 \$
	facilitate		
	employee	\$	 \$
	briefing and		
	discussion		
	sessions		
0005	Task Five—		
0005		d.	Φ.
	Design,	\$	 \$
	develop and		
	facilitate	\$	 \$
	professional		
	development		
	activities for	\$	\$
	activities 101	Ψ	 Ψ

	DC Courts'		
	frontline		
	supervisors,		
	managers and		
	branch chiefs		
			Not- to-Exceed Amount
0006	Cost		
	Reimbursable		
	for materials,		
	supplies,		
	equipment as		
	required to		
	fulfill		
	requirements		
	listed under		
	SOW in		
	Section C		

B.5.B Contract Price Schedule

\sim		T 7	
	ntinn	V ASI	· Two
•		ııcaı	1 11 11

CLIN No.	Tasks	Labor Category	Hourly Rate	Estimated Number of Hours	Total Cost
0001	Task One—Design an organizational culture change strategy customized for DC Courts	i.e. Lead Consultant, Senior Consultant and/or Junior Consultant	\$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$
0002	Task Two— Provide professional development, facilitation and support for DC Courts Organizational Values Council		\$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$

	Members and		
	Ambassadors		
0003	Task Three—	\$	 \$
	Identify and		
	develop	\$	 \$
	recommendations		
	for institutional	\$	 \$
	policy and		
	procedural changes		
	to eliminate		
	barriers that		
	impede the		
	institutionalization		
	of the values and		
	encourage		
	incorporation of		
	the values into DC		
	Courts culture		
0004	Task Four—	¢.	¢
0004		\$	 \$
	Design Develop and facilitate or	\$	•
	co-facilitate	Ψ	 Ψ
	employee briefing	\$	\$
	and discussion	Ψ	 Ψ
	sessions		
0005	Task Five—	\$	 \$
	Design, develop		
	and facilitate	\$	 \$
	professional	φ	
	development	\$	 ¢
	activities for DC Courts' frontline		\$
	supervisors, managers and		
	branch chiefs		
	oranen emers		Not- to-Exceed
			Amount
0006	Cost Reimbursable		

for materials,		
supplies,		
equipment as		
required to fulfill		
requirements listed		
under SOW in		
Section C		

B.6 OVERVIEW OF DC COURTS:

District of Columbia Courts

Congress established the District of Columbia Court of Appeals as the highest court of the District of Columbia in 1970. The court consists of a chief judge and eight associate judges. The D.C. Court of Appeals is the equivalent of a state supreme court. As the highest court for the District of Columbia, the Court of Appeals is authorized to review all final orders, judgments and specified interlocutory orders of the Superior Court of the District of Columbia. The Court also has jurisdiction to review decisions of administrative agencies, boards, and commissions of the District of Columbia government, as well as to answer questions of law certified by federal and state appellate courts. As authorized by Congress, the Court reviews proposed rules of the Superior Court and promulgates its own rules.

Congress established the Superior Court of the District of Columbia as the trial court of general jurisdiction for the District of Columbia in 1970. The court consists of a chief judge and 61 associate judges. The court is assisted by the service of 25 magistrate judges. The Superior Court handles all local trial matters, including civil, criminal, family court, probate, tax, landlord-tenant, small claims, and traffic. The District of Columbia Courts are here to serve the community, and several initiatives and collaborative projects are underway to improve service to the public in our Nation's Capital.

The Court System includes nine divisions that provide support to both the District of Columbia Court of Appeals and the Superior Court of the District of Columbia. The Executive Office is responsible for the administration and management of the District of Columbia Courts. Over 1,000 employees support the work of the Courts, including approximately 50 Senior Managers and Executives and approximately 100 Supervisors, mid-level Managers and Branch Chiefs.

Strategic Plan and New Organizational Values

In 2003, D.C. Courts first created its vision statement – *Open to All, Trusted by All, Justice for All.* Since then, the D.C. Courts has developed a series of strategic plans, led

by the D.C. Courts' Strategic Planning Leadership Council (SPLC). The Strategic Plan, updated every five years, helps the D.C. Courts to focus on priorities and define how the Courts will achieve its goals. The goals of the newest Strategic Plan are fair and timely case resolution, access to justice, a strong judiciary and workforce, a sound infrastructure, and public trust and confidence in the Courts.

In the process of developing the current Strategic Plan, the Courts' Strategic Planning Leadership Council (SPLC) undertook a year-long community outreach effort through surveys and focus groups and obtained input from approximately 4,000 individuals and organizations, including litigants, jurors, justice system agencies and community partners, members of the Bar, judicial officers and court personnel. These individuals and organizations shared their opinions of the Court's performance, goals, and objectives. The new 2013-2017 Strategic Plan helps the Courts focus on the following key priorities:

- 1. enhancing timeliness and efficiency, while ensuring that every case is resolved fairly and impartially;
- 2. expanding access to court services and promoting understanding of court proceedings and processes;
- 3. maintaining a strong judiciary and workforce and building a Great Place to Work that supports and encourages an engaged workforce;
- 4. using technology to enhance case management and ensuring that facilities are safe, accessible and support efficient operations; and
- 5. being accountable to the public, working actively to enhance understanding, civility and respect.

Strategic-Plan-of-the-District-of-Columbia-Courts-2013-2017_internet.pdf

Under the previous Plan, the D.C. Courts launched the "**Building a Great Place to Work**" initiative to create a workplace culture and environment that fosters high performance and employee engagement. The initiative also led to the Courts' biennial Employee Viewpoint Survey. As a result, the following activities have been undertaken to respond to employees' opinions, concerns, and suggestions:

- A. The Working on Wellness (WOW) and Work-Life Balance committees were created; numerous fitness activities and health related seminars have been launched to help employees to screen and improve their personal health and to reduce their stress level at work;
- B. Training initiatives for senior managers have been developed and implemented;
- C. A new Communication Specialist was hired;

- D. The Courts' new intranet was developed and launched to enhance internal communication and social interaction; and
- E. A new Performance & Employee Relations Manager is now on board to improve D.C. Courts' performance management system.

The Courts' Values, which were originally developed for the 2003-2007 Plan, have been updated in the new 2013-2017 Plan. The values reflect the input of our judicial officers, senior managers and staff, as well as the expectations of the public we serve. The senior managers also developed behaviors in action associated with each core value. The following are the updated values and their associated behaviors:

1. Accountability

The Guiding Statement - We take responsibility for our conduct and are answerable for our performance

Accountability in Action:

- Taking ownership of our actions and our work.
- Doing what we commit to do.
- Assessing the impact of our actions on fellow employees, the public and
- the D.C. Courts.
- Creating an environment where we anticipate challenges, seek solutions and alert others appropriately.

2. <u>Excellence</u>

The Guiding Statement - We provide the highest quality of service in everything we do

Excellence in Action:

- Striving to provide outstanding customer service.
- Collectively and individually setting and meeting high expectations.
- Achieving exceptional outcomes through teamwork.
- Going above and beyond the standard.
- Initiating and embracing new ideas.

3. Fairness

Guiding Statement - We are impartial in our actions, decisions and treatment of others

Fairness in Action:

- Making impartial and objective decisions.
- Treating others the way we would like to be treated.

- Listening without bias.
- Embracing diversity.

4. <u>Integrity</u>

Guiding Statement - We demonstrate the highest standards of ethical behavior **Integrity in Action:**

- Doing the right thing.
- Being honest.
- Keeping our word.
- Earning trust.
- Integrating court values into our words and actions.

5. Respect

Guiding Statement - We treat everyone with dignity, courtesy and understanding **Respect in Action:**

- Accepting and resolving situations with civility.
- Appreciating cultures, beliefs, values, points of view, and opinions.
- Promoting an environment that values input and different perspectives.
- Treating everyone in a professional manner.

6. Transparency

Guiding Statement - We are open in our processes and communicate our actions and decisions clearly

Transparency in Action:

- Sharing information routinely.
- Welcoming questions and responding candidly.
- Communicating clearly and directly.

Organizational Values Council

District of Columbia Courts is in the process of forming an Organizational Values Council to spearhead the implementation process and will be selecting Ambassadors to promote and demonstrate the new organizational values. The Council will include employees at all levels of the organization and judicial officers.

B.7 **PROGRAM BACKGROUND**

The District of Columbia Courts (D.C. Courts) launched its new organizational core values (part of the new Strategic Plan for 2013-2017) in March 2013. The Strategic Plan includes a focus on ensuring that court personnel "exemplify the Courts' Values ...through in-service training programs, coaching and modeling." The D.C. Courts' Center for Education and Training (CET), Human Resources Division and Office of Strategic Planning are seeking one or more qualified contractors to provide the following services:

- 1. Design an organizational culture change strategy aimed at integrating the six (6) new organizational values into the daily behaviors of the workforce. This strategy shall include systemic organizational changes and individual change to support the implementation of the new D.C. Courts' values with accompanying communication strategies;
- 2. Provide professional development, facilitation and other supports for the D.C. Courts' new Organizational Values Council members and Ambassadors. The goal is to increase the ability of the Council as a whole and its members individually to serve as role models and change agents for integrating the values into the organizational culture.
- 3. Work with a committee of Court Executives and Senior Managers to identify and develop recommendations for institutional policy and procedural changes to eliminate systemic barriers that impede the institutionalization of the values and encourage incorporation of the values into D.C. Courts culture.
- 4. Design, develop, and provide facilitation of a series of Awareness/Understanding briefing sessions offered to all employees to increase awareness and comprehension as well as to develop a shared understanding of the D.C. Courts' new organizational values in action (an alternate strategy might be to empower the members of the Values Council to conduct these sessions);
- 5. Provide professional development and support for D.C. Courts' frontline supervisors, managers, and branch chiefs to enhance their knowledge and skills so they can serve as role models to those they supervise. This might include training, brown-bag lunches, tools and materials or group coaching sessions.

SECTION C - DESCRIPTION/SPECIFICATIONS/WORK STATEMENT

C.1 GOAL

C.2 <u>Task 1 – Design an organizational culture change strategy customized for D.C.</u> Courts aimed at implementing the six new values described in Section B.6.

The Selected Contractor is expected to design and develop an organizational culture change strategy customized for the D.C. Courts based on the information provided in the Background section and from Courts' senior management. This strategy shall include systemic organizational change as well as individual change to support the integration of the new D.C. Courts' values into the Court culture. Appropriate communication strategies and marketing plans should be included. The plan, to be developed in conjunction with Court executives, will provide the roadmap for subsequent activities related to implementing the Values.

C.3 <u>Task 2 – Provide professional development, facilitation and support for Courts'</u> Organizational Values Council Members and Ambassadors.

The Selected Contractor will design, develop, and facilitate professional development for the D.C. Courts' Organizational Values Implementation Council members and Ambassadors. The goal is to increase Council Members and Ambassadors' understanding, knowledge, and skills to manage the change process and oversee the promotion and implementation of the D.C. Courts' new organizational values. Through the various professional development methods, the Council Members and Ambassadors will be able to serve as change agents at the D.C. Courts.

The contractor shall design or utilize participant information and assessment materials for various exercises and activities. The activities for Council Members and Ambassadors shall address the following areas:

- A. The functions and roles of the Organizational Value Implementation Council and Ambassadors;
- B. Council members' understanding of how each core value is integrated into daily activities at D.C. Courts:
- C. The change process for integrating the new values into the D.C. Courts organizational culture;
- D. Facilitation skills for conducting or co-facilitating briefing sessions for curt employees.

C.4 <u>Task 3 – Identify and develop recommendations for institutional policy and procedural changes to eliminate barriers that impede the institutionalization of the values and encourage incorporation of the values into D.C. Courts culture.</u>

The contractor shall work with a small group of Court Executives and Senior Managers to systematically review areas in court procedures and policies that might undermine the actualization of a value or that might be used to support and strengthen the value in organizational culture. The contractor shall make recommendations as to specific changes or additions needed and areas for priority focus and maximum return.

C.5 Task 4 - Design, develops, and facilitates employee briefing and discussion sessions.

The contractor shall work with the Values Council members, the Ambassadors and CET to plan, design, and possibly facilitate awareness, understanding and discussion sessions for D.C. Courts employees to increase awareness and comprehension and develop a shared understanding of the D.C. Courts' new organizational values as well as applications to support individual behavioral change. It is possible these sessions could be facilitated or co-facilitated by the Values Council members or the Ambassadors (see Task 2).

C.6 <u>Task 5 – Design, develops, and facilitates professional development activities for D.C. Courts' frontline supervisors, managers, and branch chiefs.</u>

The contractor shall design, develop, and facilitate professional development to address the knowledge and skills needed by frontline supervisors and managers to serve as change agents and role-models for the values. The activities shall include training, assessments, use of tools and materials, group coaching and mentoring. Through the various professional development methods, the frontline supervisors will be able to manage and encourage organizational and individual change at the D.C. Courts.

SECTION D - PACKAGING AND MARKETING

This section is not applicable to this solicitation.

SECTION E - INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE

E.1 Inspection Of Services.

- (a) "Services" as used in this clause includes (1) Project and contract management;
 (2) Web Voucher System Modernization & Compliance custom software delivery including requirements conformity and source code; (3) all appropriate technical documentation; (3) knowledge sharing with the Courts' IT staff.
- (b) The Contractor shall provide and maintain documentation of all services provided under this contract. Complete records of all services performed by the Contractor shall be maintained and made available to the Courts during contract performance and for as long afterwards as the contract requires.
- (c) The Courts have the right to review and test all services called for by the contract to the extent practicable during the term of the contract. The Courts will perform reviews and tests in a manner that will not unduly delay the work. The Courts will perform user acceptance test only, while unit, system, and integration testing remains responsibility of the Contractor.
- (d) If any of the services do not conform to the contract requirements, the Courts may require the Contractor to perform these services again in conformity with contract requirements, at no increase in contract amount. When the defects in services cannot be corrected by performance, the Courts may require the Contractor to take necessary action to ensure that future performance conforms to contract requirements and reduce the contract price to reflect value of services performed.
- (e) If the Contractor fails to promptly perform the services again or take the necessary action to ensure future performance in conformity to contract requirements, the Courts may (1) by contract or otherwise, perform the services and charge the Contractor any cost incurred by the Courts that is directly related to the performance of such services, or (2) terminate the contract for default.

SECTION F - DELIVERIES AND PERFORMANCE

F.1.1 The term of the contract shall be for a period of one year from the date of award of the contract. The date of award shall be the date the Contracting Officer signs the contract document.

F.2 **Option Period:**

The Courts may extend the term of this contract for an additional two years (2); one (1) year periods, or a fraction, or multiple fractions thereof.

F.2.1 Option to Extend the Term of the Contract:

The Courts may extend the term of this contract for up to (2); one (1) year periods, or a fraction, or multiple fractions thereof, by written notice to the Contractor before the expiration of the contract; provided that the Courts shall give the Contractor a preliminary written notice of its intent to extend at least thirty (30) days before the contract expires. The preliminary notice does not commit the Courts to an extension. The exercise of this option is subject to the availability of funds at the time of the exercise of this option. The Contractor may waive the thirty (30) day preliminary notice requirement by providing a written waiver to the Contracting Officer prior to expiration of the contract.

If the Courts exercise this option, the extended contract shall be considered to include this option provision. The exercise of any option under this contract is contingent upon the appropriation of funds for the respective option period. However, the availability of funds does not obligate the Courts to exercise this option year.

The offeror shall include in its **price** proposal, the **price** for the base years and all option years. Failure to submit **price** for base year and all option years may cause the Courts to exclude your offer for further consideration.

The total duration of this contract including the exercise of any options under this clause, shall not exceed three (3) years.

F.2.2 **Deliverables**

All Deliverables shall be in a form and manner acceptable to the Courts. The Contractor shall provide to the **Contracting Officer's Technical Representative (COTR)** the deliverables specified below within the designated time frames:

- A. Organizational culture change strategy for implementing the new Values at the D.C. Courts;
- B. Facilitation, planning, tools, support and professional development for the on-going work of the Organizational Values Implementation Council and Ambassadors;

- C. Participation in a series of meetings with Court Senior Executives to identify and articulate prioritized recommendations for systemic organizational procedural and policy changes and supports needed to enable the values to become institutionalized. A document will summarize the final recommendations.
- D. Design, plan and possible facilitation or co-facilitation of a series of sessions brownbag, discussion groups, workshops offered to all 1,000 Court employees;
- E. Agenda, materials, tools and facilitation for the professional development activities for the Courts' 100 frontline supervisors, managers, and branch chiefs in the areas of change management and behavioral role-modeling related to the values.
- F.2.3 The Contractor shall be fully prepared and capable of performing the requirements of the contract within **forty-five** (**45**) **days** from the date of a signed contract and receipt of the approved contract order. The Contractor shall contact the COTR in order to schedule project kick-off meeting immediately upon award of the contract.

SECTION G -CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION DATA

G.1 Payment/Invoices

The Contractor shall be compensated upon completion and the Courts acceptance of the work in the following manner:

Invoices shall be submitted monthly for actual labor hours and expenses incurred for services rendered. Payment will be made in accordance with established labor categories, by unit price and proof of actual cost of materials and supplies stated in the not-to-exceed amount established in Section B of the solicitation.

- G.1.1 The Contractor shall prepare invoices in duplicate and submit them to the **Contracting Officer's Technical Representative (COTR).** The COTR shall review each invoice for certification of receipt of satisfactory services prior to authorization of payment. Payments shall be made within thirty (30) days after receipt and approval of invoices.
- G.1.2 At a minimum, to constitute a proper invoice, the Contractor's invoice shall include the following information:
 - a. Name and address of the Contractor:
 - b. The contract number and Contract Order number;
 - c. Invoice date:
 - d. Description, quantity, unit of measure, and extended price of the services or supplies actually rendered;
 - e. Date the services or supplies were rendered;
 - f. Shipping & payment terms;
 - g. Name and address of the Contractor official to whom payment is to be sent;
 - h. Name, title, phone number, and mailing address of person to be notified in the event of a defective invoice;
 - i. The Contractor's Electronic Fund Transfer (EFT) routing identification (bank name and code, account number) or the Contractor's complete remittance or check mailing address, including the name (where practicable), title, phone number, and complete mailing address of responsible official to whom payment is to be sent; and
 - j. Signature of a person so authorized to certify that the services or supplies were provided as stated.
- G.1.3 The Contractor shall submit final invoices within thirty (30) days after the expiration of this contract.

G.1.4 In addition, the Contractor shall complete **Attachment J.8 - District of**Columbia Courts Release of Claims form and submit to the Contracting
Officer.

G.2. Payment Office

G.2.1 The Contractor shall prepare and submit invoices to:

Accounting Supervisor Financial Operations Division DC Courts 616 H Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20001 202-879-2813

G.3 **Billing/Payment**

G.3.1 Payment to the Contractor for services satisfactorily performed shall be made by the Courts once the Contractor's certified invoice has been approved by the **COTR**, or in the case of a dispute, subject to final determination by the Contracting Officer.

G.4 Audits

G.4.1 At any time or times before final payment and three (3) years thereafter, the Contracting Officer may have the Contractor's invoices or vouchers and statements of costs audited. Any payment may be reduced by amounts found by the Contracting Officer not to constitute allowable costs as adjusted for prior overpayment or underpayment. In the event that all payments have been made to the Contractor by the Courts and a discrepancy of overpayment is found, the Courts shall be reimbursed for said overpayment within thirty (30) days after written notification.

G.5 Contracting Officer and Contracting Officer's Technical Representative (COTR)

G.5.1 **Contracting Officer:** The District of Columbia Courts' Contracting Officer who has the appropriate contracting authority is the only Courts official authorized to contractually bind the Courts through signing contract documents. All correspondence to the Contracting Officer shall be forwarded to:

Louis W. Parker Administrative Officer Administrative Services Division District of Columbia Courts 616 H Street, N.W., Suite 622 Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone Number: (202) 879-2803 Facsimile Number: (202) 879-2835

G.5.2 Contracting Officer's Technical Representative (COTR): The COTR is responsible for general administration of the contract and advising the Contracting Officer as to the Contractor's performance or non-performance of the contract requirements. In addition, the COTR is responsible for the day-to-day monitoring and supervision of the contract. The COTR shall be:

David Chang, Education Specialist Center for Education and Training (CET) District of Columbia Courts 616 H Street, N.W., Suite 614 Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone Number: (202) 879-0487

G.6 Authorized Representative of the Contracting Officer

- G.6.1 The COTR will have the responsibility of ensuring that the work conforms to the requirements of the contract and such other responsibilities and authorities as may be specified in this contract. It is understood and agreed that the COTR shall not have authority to make changes in the scope or terms and conditions of the contract.
- G.6.2 THE RESULTANT CONTRACTOR IS HEREBY FOREWARNED THAT ABSENT THE REQUISITE AUTHORITY OF THE COTR TO MAKE ANY SUCH CHANGES, CONTRACTOR MAY BE HELD FULLY RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY CHANGES NOT AUTHORIZED IN ADVANCE, IN WRITING, BY THE CONTRACTING OFFICER, MAY BE DENIED COMPENSATION OR OTHER RELIEF FOR ANY ADDITIONAL WORK PERFORMED THAT IS NOT SO AUTHORIZED, AND MAY BE ALSO BE REQUIRED, AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE COURTS, TO TAKE ALL CORRECTIVE ACTION NECESSITATED BY REASON OF THE UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES.

SECTION H - SPECIAL CONTRACTS REQUIREMENTS

H.1 Other Contractors

The Contractor shall not commit or permit any act which will interfere with the performance of work done by any other Courts Contractor or by any Courts employee. If another contractor is awarded a future contract for performance of the required services, the original contractor shall cooperate fully with the Courts and the new contractor in any transition activities which the Contracting Officer deems necessary during the term of the contract.

H.2 Disclosure of Information

- H.2.1 Any information made available by the District of Columbia Courts shall be used only for the purposes of carrying out the provisions of this contract, and shall not be divulged nor made known in any manner to any person except as may be necessary in the performance of the contract.
- H.2.2 In performance of this Contract, the Contractor agrees to assume responsibility for protection of the confidentiality of Courts records and that all work shall be performed under the supervision of the Contractor or the Contractor's responsible employees.
- H.2.3 Each office or employee of the Contractor to whom information may be available or disclosed shall be notified in writing by the Contractor that information disclosed to such officer or employee can be used only for a purpose and to the extent authorized herein, and that further disclosure of any such information, by any means, for a purpose or to an extent unauthorized herein, may subject the offender to criminal sanctions.
- H.2.4 No information regarding the Contractor's performance of the contract shall be disclosed by the Contractor to anyone other than the District of Columbia Courts officials unless written approval is obtained in advance from the Contracting Officer.

H.3 Rights in Data

H.3.1 "Data" as used herein, means recorded information, regardless of form or the media on which it may be recorded. The term includes technical data and computer software. The term does not include information incidental to contract administration, such as financial, administrative, cost and pricing, or management information.

- H.3.2 The term "Technical Data" as used herein, means recorded information regardless of form or characteristic. It may, for example, document research, experimental, developmental work, or be used to define a design or process to produce, support, maintain, or update material or documentation. The data may be character, graphic or pictorial delineation in media such as drawings or photographs, text, or related design or performance type documentation. Examples of technical data include research data, documentation drafts, lists, specifications, profiles, standards, process sheets, manuals, and technical reports.
- H.3.3 The term "Computer Software" as used herein, means all computer programs and relational computer databases, "Computer Programs" as used herein are defined as a series of instructions or statements in a form acceptable to a computer, designed to cause the computer to execute an operation or operations. Computer programs include operating systems, assemblers, compilers, interpreters, database management systems, utility programs, sort/merge programs, and automatic data processing equipment (ADPE) maintenance diagnostic programs.
- H.3.4All data first produced in the performance of any contract resulting from this solicitation process shall be the sole property of the District of Columbia Courts. The offeror hereby acknowledges that all data, including, without limitation, produced by the offeror for the process, are works made for hire and are the sole property of the District of Columbia Courts; but, to the extent any such data may not, by operation of law, be works made for hire, the Contractor shall transfer and assign to the Courts the ownership of copyright in works, whether published or unpublished. Further, the Contractor agrees to give the Courts all assistance reasonably necessary to perfect such rights, including but not limited to the works and supporting documentation and the execution of any instrument required to register copyrights. The Contractor agrees not to assert any rights at common law or in equity in such data. The Contractor shall not publish or reproduce such data in whole or in any manner or form, authorize others to do so, without written consent of the District of Columbia Courts until such time as the Courts may release such data to the public domain. The Courts shall not unreasonably withhold consent to the offeror's request to publish or reproduce data in professional or public relations trade publications.

H.4 Security Requirements

The requirement for Contractor personnel to obtain a security clearance as designated by the Contracting Officer may arise per District of Columbia Courts security policies and procedures. The District of Columbia Courts will notify the Contractor of all such requirements as soon as practicable.

H.5 Wage Rates

The Contractor is bound by the attached Wage Determination No. 2005-2103, Revision 13, dated 06/13/2013, issued by the U.S. Department of Labor, in accordance with the Service Contract Act of 1965, as amended (41(U.S.C. 351, incorporated herein as J.10. The Contractor shall be bound by the prevailing wage rates for the term of the contract.

PART II

SECTION I - CONTRACT CLAUSES

I.1 Applicability of General Provisions Applicable to the Court Contracts

The General Provisions Applicable to Courts Contracts (Attachment J. 1) shall be applicable to the contract resulting from this solicitation.

I.2 Restriction On Disclosure and Use of Data

Offerors who include in their proposals data that they do not want disclosed to the public or used by the Courts except for use in the procurement process shall so state in their proposal.

I.3 Ethics in Public Contracting

The Offeror shall familiarize itself with the Court's policy entitled "Ethics In Public Contracting". The Courts Offeror shall abide by such provisions in submission of its proposal and performance of any contract awarded. See Attachment J.3.

I.4 Disputes

Any dispute arising under or out of this contract is subject to the provisions of Chapter 8 of the Procurement Guidelines of the Courts.

I.5 Laws and Regulations

All applicable laws, Courts rules, procurement guidelines and regulations shall apply to the contract throughout, and they will be considered to be included in the contract the same as though herein written out in full.

I.6 Non-Discrimination

The Contractor agrees that it will comply with the nondiscrimination requirements set forth in D.C. Code, Section 2-1402.11(Supp. 2006) which will be incorporated into any contract awarded. The Contractor agrees to comply with requests from the Courts to support the Contractor's adherence to this section.

I.7 Examination of Books and Records

The Contracting Officer or any of the Contracting Officer's duly authorized representatives shall, until three years after final payment, have the right to examine any directly pertinent books, documents, papers and record of the Contractor involving transactions related to the contract.

I.8 **Record Keeping**

The Contractor shall be expected to maintain complete and accurate records justifying all actual and accrued expenditures. The Contractor's records shall be subject to periodic audit by the Court.

I.9 Subcontracts

None of the Contractor's work or services hereunder may be subcontracted by the Contractor to any subcontractor without the prior, written consent of the Contracting Officer. Any work or service so subcontracted shall be performed pursuant to a subcontract agreement which the Courts shall have the rights to review and approve prior to its execution. Notwithstanding any such subcontractor approved by the Court, the Contractor shall remain liable to the Courts for all contractors' work and services required hereunder.

I.10 Protest

I.10.1 Any aggrieved person may protest this solicitation, award or proposed contract award in accordance with Chapter 8 of the Procurement Guidelines of the District of Columbia Courts. Protest shall be filed in writing, within ten (10) working days after the basis of the protest is known (or should have been known), whichever is earlier with the Contracting Officer at:

Administrative Services Division District of Columbia Courts 616 H Street, N.W., Suite 622 Washington, D.C. 20001

I.10.2 A protest shall include the following:

Name, address and telephone number of the protester; solicitation or contract number;

I.10.2.3 Detailed statement of the legal and factual grounds for the protest, including copies of relevant documents;

- I.10.2.4 Request for a ruling by the Contracting Officer; and
- I.10.2.5 Statement as to the form of relief requested.

I.11 Insurance

- I.11.1 Prior to execution of the contract, the Contractor shall obtain at its own cost and expense and keep in force and effect during the term of this contract, including all extensions, the insurance specified below with an insurance company licensed or qualified to do business with the District of Columbia Courts. All insurance shall set forth the District of Columbia Courts as an additional insured. The policies of insurance shall provide for at least thirty (30) day written notice to the District of Columbia Courts prior to their termination or material alteration. The Contractor must submit to the Contracting Officer a certificate of insurance as evidence of compliance within ten (10) calendar days after request.
- I.11.2 Comprehensive General Liability: Insurance against liability for bodily injury insurance coverage in the amount of at least five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000) per occurrence.
- I.11.3 Workers' Compensation: The Contractor shall carry Workers' compensation insurance covering all of its employees employed upon the premises and in connection with its other operations pertaining to this agreement and the Contractor agrees to comply at all times with the provisions of the Workers compensation laws of the District.
- I.11.4 Comprehensive Automobile Liability Insurance (applicable to owned, non-owned and hired vehicles): The Contractor shall carry comprehensive automobile liability insurance applicable to owned, non-owned, and hired vehicles against liability for bodily injury and property damage in an amount not less than that required by law of the District's Compulsory/No-Fault Vehicle Insurance Act of 1982, as amended.

I.12 Cancellation Ceiling

I.12.1 In the event of cancellation of the contract because of nonappropriation for any fiscal year after fiscal year 2014, there shall be a cancellation ceiling of zero dollars representing reasonable preproduction and nonrecurring costs, which would be applicable to the items or services being furnished and normally amortized over the life of the contract.

PART III

LIST OF DOCUMENTS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER ATTACHMENTS

SECTION J - LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

J.1	General Provisions Applicable to Courts Contracts
J.2	Anti-Collusion Statement
J.3	Ethics in Public Contracting
J.4	Non-Discrimination
J.5	Certification of Eligibility
J.6	Tax Certification Affidavit
J.7	Certification Regarding a Drug-Free Workplace
J.8	District of Columbia Courts Release of Claims
J.9	Past Performance Evaluation Form
J.10	DOL Wage Determination 2005-2103, Revision 13

PART IV

REPRESENTATIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

SECTION K - REPRESENTATIONS, CERTIFICATIONS AND OTHER STATEMENTS OF OFFERORS

- **K.1** Certification Regarding a Drug-Free Workplace.
- K.1.1 Definitions. As used in this provision:
- K.1.1.1 "Controlled substance" means a controlled substance in schedules I through V of section 202 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C.) and as further defined in regulation at 21 CFR 1308.11 1308.15.
- K.1.1.2 "Conviction" means a finding of guilt (including a plea of nolo contendere) or imposition of sentence, or both, by any judicial body charged with the responsibility to determine violations of the Federal or State criminal drug statutes.
- K.1.1.3 "Criminal drug statute" means a Federal or non-Federal criminal statute involving the manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession or use of any controlled substance.
- K.1.1.4 "Drug-free workplace" means the site (s) for the performance of work done by the Contractor in connection with a specific contract at which employees of the Contractor are prohibited from engaging in the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance.
- K.1.1.5 "Employee" means an employee of a Contractor directly engaged in the performance of work under a Government contract. "Directly engaged" is defined to include all direct costs employees and any other Contractor employee who has other than a minimal impact or involvement in contract performance.
- K.1.1.6 "Individual" means an offeror/contractor that has no more than one employee including the offeror/contractor.
- K.1.2 By submission of its offer, the offeror, if other than an individual who is making an offer that equals or exceeds \$25,000.00, certifies and agrees, that with respect to all employees of the offeror to be employed under a contract resulting from this solicitation, it will no later than 30 calendar days after contract award (unless a

longer period is agreed to in writing), for contracts of 30 calendar days or more performance duration, or as soon as possible for contract of less than 30 calendar days performance duration, but in any case, by a date prior to when performance is expected to be completed.

- K.1.2.1 Publish a statement notifying such employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the Contractor's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violations of such prohibition;
- K.1.2.2 Establish an ongoing drug-free awareness program to inform such employees about -
 - (i) The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace;
 - (ii) The Contractor's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace;
 - (iii) Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance program; and
 - (iv) The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace.
- K.1.2.3 Provide all employees engaged in performance of the contract with a copy of the statement required by subparagraph K.1.2.1 of this provision;
- K.1.2.4 Notify such employees in writing in the statement required by subparagraph K.1.2.1 of this provision that, as a condition of continued employment on the contract resulting from this solicitation, the employee will
 - (i) Abide by the terms of the statement; and
 - (ii) Notify the employer in writing of the employee's conviction under a criminal drug statute for a violation occurring in the workplace no later than 5 calendar days after such conviction;
- K.1.2.5 Notify the Contracting Officer in writing within 10 calendar days after receiving notice under subdivision K.1.2.4 (ii) of this clause, from an employee or otherwise receiving actual notice of such conviction;
- K.1.2.6 The notice shall include the position title of the employee; and
- K.1.2.7 Within 30 calendar days after receiving notice under subdivision K.1.2.4 (ii) of

this provision of a conviction, take one of the following actions with respect to any employee who is convicted of a drug abuse violation occurring in the workplace:

- (i) Take appropriate personnel action against such employee, up to and including termination; or
- (ii) Require such employee to satisfactorily participate in drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a Federal, State, or local health, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency.
- K.1.2.8 Make a good faith effort to maintain a drug-free workplace though implementation of subparagraphs K.1.2.1 through K.1.2.6 of this provision.
- K.1.3 By submission of its offer, the offeror, if an individual who is making an offer of any dollar value, certifies and agrees that the offeror will not engage in the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance in the performance of the contract resulting from this solicitation.
- K.1.4 Failure of the offeror to provide the certification required by paragraphs K.1.2 or K.1.3 of this provision, renders the offeror unqualified and ineligible for award. (See FAR 9.104-1(g) and 19-602-1(a)(2) (i) and (ii).
- K.1.5 In addition to other remedies available to the Government, the certification in paragraphs K.1.2 or K.1.3 of this provision concerns a matter within the jurisdiction of an agency of the United States and the making of a false, fictitious, or fraudulent certification may render the maker subject to prosecution under Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001.

K.1.6	CERTIFICATION REGARDING A DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE			
	Print Name of Authorized Representative	Title		
	Signature of Authorized	_		

Representative

PART V

REPRESENTATIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

SECTION L - INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS

- L.1 **Proposal Submission and Identification.**
- L.1.1 The District of Columbia Courts will not accept a facsimile copy of a proposal as an original. Unless specifically authorized in the solicitation, the District of Columbia Courts shall not accept telegraphic offers.
- L.1.2 Proposals shall be submitted in a sealed proposal package. The offeror shall conspicuously mark on the outside of the proposal package the name and address of the offeror and the following:

Solicitation Number: DCSC-13-RP-0028

Caption: <u>"DC Courts' New Organizational Values—Consulting Services"</u>
Proposal Due Date & Time: <u>Tuesday, September 17, 2013, No later than 2:00</u>
P.M.

L.1.3 Confidentiality of Submitted Information

- L.1.3.1 Offerors who include in their proposals data that they do not want disclosed to the public or used by the District of Columbia Courts except for use in the procurement process shall mark the title page of the proposal document with the following legend:
- L.1.3.1.1 "This proposal includes data that shall not be disclosed outside the District of Columbia Courts and shall not be duplicated, used or disclosed in whole or in part for any purpose except for use in the procurement process."
- L.1.3.2 The specific information within the proposal which the offeror is making subject to this restriction announced on the title page must be noted on the individual pages which contain it. The offeror shall mark each page containing confidential information or data it wishes to restrict with the following text:
- L.1.3.2.1 "Use or disclosure of data contained on this page is subject to the restriction on the title page of this proposal".

- L.1.3.3 Note that the District of Columbia Courts shall have the right to duplicate, use, or disclose the data to the extent consistent with the Court's internal needs in the procurement process. The Courts may, without permission of the offeror, use, without restriction, information contained in this proposal package if it is obtained from another source.
- L.1.4 Offerors may submit Proposals either by mail or by hand delivery/courier services.
- L.1.4.1 Offerors submitting their proposals by <u>mail</u> must mail their proposals to the following address:

District of Columbia Courts Administrative Services Division Procurement and Contracts Branch Attn: Darlene D. Reynolds, CPPB 616 H Street, N.W., Suite 612 Washington, D.C. 20001

L.1.4.2 Offerors submitting their proposals by <u>hand delivery/courier</u> services must hand deliver their proposals to the following address:

District of Columbia Courts Administrative Services Division Procurement and Contracts Branch Attn: Darlene D. Reynolds, CPPB 701 7th Street, N.W., Suite 612 Washington, D.C. 20001

L.2 **Proposal Information and Format**

L.2.1 At a minimum, each proposal submitted in response to this RFP shall include sections, as set forth below, which address the approach for the work described in Section "C" - Description/Specifications/Work Statement. The proposal shall include the requisite legal representations, resources which will directly be employed in the project, client references, and a description of similar services provided by the offeror and its key personnel. Failure to address adequately any of these areas may result in the proposal being eliminated from consideration for award.

L.2.2 Proposals shall be prepared simply and economically, providing a straightforward, concise delineation of offeror's capabilities to satisfy the requirements of this RFP. Fancy bindings and colored displays or promotional material are not desired or preferred, but pages must be numbered. The proposal shall be prepared in two volumes. These shall be submitted in loose-leaf, three-ring notebooks for each copy of Volume I – Technical Proposal, and for each copy of Volume II – Price Proposal. See also, clause L.2.3 – Price Proposal. All respondents must submit pricing per Section L.2.2.3.

L.2.2.1 Volume I - Technical Proposal shall comprise the following tabs and information:

Tab A	Techn	ical Approach		
	A.	Overall understanding of the RFP requirements.		
	B. Documentation indicating the capabilities and experier same or similar type of service.C. A logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the			
	D.	A comprehensive list of project tasks with clear and achievable deadlines for the completion of tasks to meet project objectives.		
	E.	Clearly defined project responsibilities and accountability.		
	F.	Appropriate management and staffing to the project team.		
Tab B	Engagement Team – Staffing and Supervision:			
	A.	List qualifications and experience of firm, principals and key personnel proposed to perform the requirements listed under the scope of the project; documenting capabilities and experience with providing the same or similar type of service.		
	B.	Supervision to be exercised over the engagement team by firm's management.		
		For each component separately,		
	C.	Describe the firm's technical and management approach to accomplishing the requirements within the required time frame.		

	D.	Identify the number of hours required to accomplish the requirements and milestones and work breakdown structures.		
	E.	Describe which portions of the effort will be subcontracted if Any.		
	F.	Identify all the deliverables.		
	G.	Provide resumes of staff candidates; any changes subject to the approval of the Courts' COTR (see more in Section L.25 below).		
Tab C	Past	Past Performance		
	A.	The information requested in this section shall facilitate the evaluation of the Offeror's past performance in delivering the Court's requirements as described herein. Offeror without a record of relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available, the Offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance.		
	В.	The Offeror shall provide any information to substantiate the Offeror's past performance in completing the requirements of Section C. The Offeror shall provide the following information:		
	C.	References: The Offeror shall submit a list of all references for which services of this nature have been provided in the past two (2) years . The list shall include the name, address, telephone number, and e-mail address of the contact person.		
	D.	In addition, the Offeror shall have at least two (2) past performance references complete a Past Performance Evaluation Form (Section J.9). This information will be used to query previous customers regarding Offerors past performance on contracts. Offerors shall assure that customers listed in the proposal complete and sign the Performance Evaluation Form and return them with the technical proposal submission. For each reference contacted, the contact person will be requested to confirm the Period of performance, dollar amount, Timeliness of Performance, Cost Control Business Relations and Customer Satisfaction.		
	E.	Past performance information will be used for both responsibility		

	determinations and as an evaluation factor against which relative ranking will be compared in accordance with the criteria set forth in Section M. The Court will focus on it that demonstrates quality of performance relative to the s scope, magnitude and complexity to that detailed in the R determining the rating for the past performance, the Cour consideration to the contracts, which are relevant to the R			
	G.	The Court reserves the right to contact the owners of projects known to have been completed within the last two (2) years but not supplied as references, and the information received may be used in the evaluation of past performance.		
Tab E	E Disclosure			
	A.	Disclosure details of any legal action or litigation past or pending against the Offeror.		
	B.	A statement that the Offeror knows of no conflict between its interests and those of the District of Columbia Courts; and further that the Offeror knows of no facts or circumstances that might create the appearance of a conflict between its interests		
		and those of the District of Columbia Courts.		

L.2.2.2 Volume II – Price Proposal shall comprise the following tabs:

Tab A	Price Information -detailed price breakdown of all price (See also, Clause L.2.3)
Tab B	Contractual Information – all other required information as specified in Clause L.2.2 and L.10

authorized to conduct business in the District, and the Offeror is

current in its tax obligation to the District of Columbia.

L.2.2.3 <u>Each Offeror shall submit one completed copy of the RFP, one (1) original and four (4) copies of the Technical Proposal, and four (4) separately bound copies of the Price Proposal. Each proposal shall be properly indexed and include all information requested in the RFP.</u>

L.2.3 **Price Proposal**

L.2.3.1 A separately bound price proposal must be submitted using the format provided in Section "B" of this RFP. The price furnished by the offeror shall be detailed/itemized for the services set forth in Section C. The offeror's price proposal shall become a part of the awarded contract. The offeror's price proposal shall include all costs for the required services. This pricing information will also be used for evaluation purposes.

L.3 Proposal Submission Date and Time, Late Submission, Modifications and Withdrawals

- L.3.1 Proposals shall be submitted no later than the date and time specified in the solicitation. Proposals, modifications to proposals, or requests for withdrawal that are received in the designated Courts office after the exact local time specified above, are "late" and shall be considered only if they are received before the award is made and one (1) or more of the following circumstances apply:
- L.3.1.1 The proposal or modification was sent by registered or certified mail no later than the fifth (5th) calendar day before the date specified for receipt of offers;
- L.3.1.2 The proposal or modification was sent by mail and it is determined by the Contracting Officer that the late receipt at the location specified in the solicitation was caused by mishandling by the Courts after receipt; or
- L.3.1.3 The proposal is the only proposal received.
- L.3.2 The only acceptable evidence to establish the date of a late proposal, late modification or late withdrawal sent either by registered or certified mail shall be a U.S. or Canadian Postal Service postmark on the wrapper or on the original receipt from the U.S. or Canadian Postal Service. If neither postmark shows a legible date, the proposal, modification or withdrawal shall be deemed to have been mailed late. When the postmark shows the date but not the hour, the time is presumed to be the last minute of the date shown. If no date is shown in the postmark, the proposal shall be considered late unless the offeror can furnish evidence from the postal authorities of timely mailing.
- L.3.3 A late proposal, late request for modification or late request for withdrawal shall not be considered, except as provided in this section.
- L.3.4 A late modification of a successful proposal which makes its terms more favorable to the Courts shall be considered at any time it is received and may be

accepted.

L.3.5 A late proposal, late modification or late withdrawal of offer that is not considered shall be held unopened, unless opened for identification, until after award and then retained with unsuccessful offers resulting from this solicitation.

L.4 **Questions**

L.4.1 Questions concerning this Request For Proposals must be directed by <u>e-mail</u> to:

Darlene D. Reynolds, CPPB
Senior Contract Specialist
Procurement and Contracts Branch
Administrative Services Division
District of Columbia Courts
616 H Street, N.W., Suite 612
Washington, D.C. 20001

E-mail address: darlene.reynolds@dcsc.gov

Telephone: 202-879-2872

L.4.2 For further information on submission of questions, please refer to Section L.5 of this RFP.

L.5 Explanation to Prospective Offerors

L.5.1 Any prospective offeror desiring an explanation or interpretation of this solicitation must request it by e-mail no later than Monday, September 9, 2013 by 2:30 p.m. Requests should be directed to the procurement contact person at the e-mail address listed in Section L.4. Any substantive information given to a prospective offeror concerning a solicitation will be furnished promptly to all other prospective offerors as an amendment to the solicitation, if that information is necessary in submitting offers or if the lack of it would be prejudicial to any other prospective offerors. Oral explanations or instructions given before the award of the contract will not be binding.

L.6 Changes to the RFP

L.6.1 The terms and conditions of this RFP may only be modified by written addenda issued by the Contracting Officer, any oral representations to the contrary notwithstanding.

L.7 Contract Award

- L.7.1 The Courts intend to make an award to the responsible Offeror whose proposal represents the best value to the Courts taking into consideration the evaluation factors set forth in Section M.
- L.7.2 The Courts may award a contract on the basis of initial offers received, without discussion. Therefore, each initial offer should contain the offeror's best terms from a standpoint of price, technical, and other factors.

L.7.3 Final Proposal Revisions (FPRs)

The Courts may award a contract upon the basis of initial offers received, without discussions. Therefore, each initial offer shall contain the offeror's best terms from a cost and technical standpoint. However, if discussions are held with offerors, all offerors within the competitive range will be notified regarding the holding of discussions and will be provided an opportunity to submit written Final Proposal Revisions at the designated date and time. If any modification is submitted, it must be received by the date and time specified and is subject to the "Late Submissions, Modifications and Withdrawals of Proposals" provisions of this solicitation. After receipt of Final Proposal Revisions, no discussions will be reopened unless the Contracting Officer determines that it is clearly in the Courts best interest to do so. If discussions are reopened, the Contracting Officer shall issue an additional request for Final Proposal Revisions to all offerors still within the competitive range.

L.8 Cancellation of Award

L.8.1 The District of Columbia Courts reserve the right, without liability to the Court, to cancel the award of any contract at any time prior to the approval of a formal written contract signed by the Executive Officer and Administrative Officer of the District of Columbia Courts.

L.9 **Official Offer**

L.9.1 Offers signed by an agent shall be accompanied by evidence of that agent's authority unless that evidence has been previously furnished to the Contracting Officer.

L.10 Certifications, Affidavits and Other Submissions

L.10.1 Offerors shall complete and return with their proposal the Representations and Certifications (Attachment J.2 - Anti-Collusion Statement, Attachment J.3 - Ethics in Public Contracting, Attachment J.4 - Non-Discrimination, J.5 - Certification of Eligibility, J.6 - Tax Certification Affidavit and J.7 - Certification of a Drug-Free Workplace, Attachment J. 9 - Past Performance

Forms).

L.11 **Retention of Proposals**

L.11.1 All proposal documents shall be the property of the District of Columbia Courts and retained by the Courts, and therefore will not be returned to the offerors. One (1) copy of each proposal shall be retained for official files and will become a public record after the award and open to public inspection. It is understood that the proposal will become a part of the official file on this matter without obligation on the part of the Courts except as to the disclosure restrictions contained in Section L.1.3.

L.12 **Public Disclosure under FOIA**

L.12.1 Trade secrets or proprietary information submitted by an offeror in connection with procurement shall not be subject to public disclosure under the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). This Act is not applicable to the Court. However, the offeror must invoke the protection of this section prior to or upon submission of the data or other materials; must identify the specific area or scope of data or other materials to be protected; and state the reasons why protection is necessary. A blanket prescription that the offeror's entire proposal is proprietary will have no effect whatsoever.

L.13 **Examination of Solicitation**

L.13.1 Offerors are expected to examine the Statement of Work and all instructions and attachments in this solicitation. Failure to do so will be at the offeror's risk.

L.14 Acknowledgment of Amendments

L.14.1 Offerors shall acknowledge receipt of any amendment to this solicitation by (a) signing and returning the amendment; (b) identifying the amendment number and date in the proposal; or (c) letter. The District of Columbia Courts must receive the acknowledgment by the date and time specified for receipt of offers. Offeror's failure to acknowledge an amendment may result in rejection of the offer.

L.15 Right to Reject Proposals

L.15.1 The Courts reserve the right to reject, in whole or in part, any and all proposals received as the result of this RFP.

L.16 **Proposal Preparation Costs**

L.16.1 Each Offeror shall bear all costs it incurs in providing responses to this RFP and for providing any additional information required by the Courts to facilitate the evaluation process. The successful offeror shall also bear all costs incurred in conjunction with contract development and negotiation.

L.17 Prime Contractor's Responsibilities

- L.17.1 Each offeror may propose services that are provided by others, but any service(s) proposed must meet all of the requirements of this RFP.
- L.17.2 If the offeror's proposal includes services provided by others, the offeror will be required to act as the prime Contractor for all such items and must assume full responsibility for the procurement, delivery and quality of such services. The Contractor will be considered the sole point of contact with regard to al stipulations, including payment of all charges and the meeting of all requirements of this RFP.

L.18 Contract Type

The District of Columbia Courts contemplates award of a firm-fixed unit price contract; with not-to-exceed limits.

L.19 Failure to Respond to Solicitation

L.19.1 In the event that a prospective offeror does not submit an offer in response to the solicitation, the prospective offeror should advise the Contracting Officer by letter or postcard whether the prospective offeror wants any future solicitations for similar requirements. If the prospective offeror does not submit an offer for three successive offer openings and does not notify the Contracting Officer that future solicitations are desired, the prospective offeror's name may be removed from applicable mailing list.

L.20 Signing Offers and Certifications

L.20.1 Each offer must provide a full business address and telephone number of the offeror and **BE SIGNED BY THE PERSON OR PERSONS LEGALLY AUTHORIZED TO SIGN CONTRACTS.** All correspondence concerning the offer or resulting contract will be mailed to the address shown above on the offer in the absence of written instructions from the offeror or contractor to the contrary. Any offer submitted by a partnership must be signed with the partnership name by a general partner with authority to bind the partnership. Any

offer submitted by a corporation must include the signature and title of the person having authority to sign for the corporation. Upon request, an Offeror shall provide to the Courts satisfactory evidence of authority of the person signing on behalf of the corporation. If an agent signs an offer, the offeror shall submit to the Contracting Officer, the agent's authority to bind the offeror. Offeror shall complete and sign all Representations and Acknowledgments, as appropriate. Failure to do so may result in the offer being rejected.

L.21 Errors in Offers

L.21.1 Offerors shall fully inform themselves as to all information and requirements contained in the solicitation. Failure to do so will be at the offeror's risk. In the event of a discrepancy between the unit price and the extended price, the unit price shall govern.

L.22 **Authorized Negotiators**

L.22.1 The offeror shall include in its proposal a statement indicating those persons authorized to negotiate on the offeror's behalf with the District of Columbia Courts in connection with this Request for Task Order Proposals: (list names, titles, and telephone numbers of the authorized negotiators). Offerors are expected to examine the Statement of Work and all instructions and attachments in this solicitation. Failure to do so will be at the offeror's risk.

L.23 Acceptance Period

The Offeror agrees keep its offer open for one hundred twenty (120) days from the date specified in this solicitation for the submission of proposals, or if its a Final Proposal Revision (FPR) is accepted within one-hundred twenty (120) days from the date specified for submission thereof to furnish services at the price stated in the Price proposal, delivered or performed at the designated place within the time specified in this solicitation.

L.24 <u>Pre-Proposal Conference</u>

This section is not applicable to this solicitation.

L.26 Incorporated Documents

The following documents are incorporated and made a part of this solicitation:

- L.26.1 D.C. Courts General Contract Provisions, April 2007 (Attachment J.1)
- L.26.2 Tax Certification Affidavit (Attachment J.6)
- L.26.3 Past Performance Evaluation Form (Attachment J.9)

PART VI

SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS

M.1 Evaluation for Award

The Courts intend to make an award to the responsible firm whose proposal represents the best value to the Courts. The evaluation factors are listed below in descending order of importance. The non-price factors, when combined, are significantly more important than price. The Courts may award a contract upon the basis of initial offers received, without discussions. Therefore, each initial offer shall contain the offeror's best terms from a cost and technical standpoint. The Courts reserve the right to request a best and final offer.

M.2 **Evaluation Criteria**

The technical evaluation factors set forth below shall be used to evaluate each proposal. The maximum points for technical are 100 total points. The criteria for evaluating the proposals and their respective points are as follows:

SECTION NO.	TECHNICAL PROPOSAL SECTION	EVALUATION CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS
M.2.1	A. Subject matter knowledge for values change and training initiatives in public organizations;		25
	B. Past Perform organizational unique nature with providing judicial system references per previous related	25	

M.2.2	A. Qualifications and experience of engagement team. B. Supervision to be exercised over the engagement team by firm's management.	30
M.2.3	A. Qualifications and experience of engagement team. B. Supervision to be exercised over the engagement team by firm's management.	20
	TOTAL	100 points

M.3 **Price Proposal Evaluation**

- M.3.1 The Courts will not rate or score price, but will evaluate each Offeror's price proposal for realism, reasonableness, and completeness. This evaluation will reflect the Offeror's understanding of the solicitation requirements and the validity of the Offeror's approach to performing the work. Alternative price proposals, if considered by the Courts, will be evaluated on contract type risk, potential savings, other advantages or disadvantages to the Courts, and the discretion of the government.
- M.3.2 Realism. The Courts will evaluate the realism of the proposed price by assessing the compatibility of proposed price with proposal scope and effect. In the evaluation the Courts will consider the following:
 - a. Do the proposed prices reflect a clear understanding of the requirements?
 - b. Do the proposed prices for performing various functional service requirements reflect the likely costs to the offeror in performing the effort with reasonable economy and efficiency?
 - c. Are proposed prices unrealistically high or low?
 - d. Are the proposed prices consistent with the technical and management/staffing approach (e.g., if the offeror proposes a staff of *x* people, the price proposal must account for *x* people)?

- M.3.3 Reasonableness. In evaluating reasonableness, the Courts will determine if the Offeror's proposed prices, in nature and amount, do not exceed those which would be incurred by a prudent contractor in the conduct of competitive business. The assessment of reasonableness will take into account the context of the source selection, including current market conditions and other factors that may impact price. In the evaluation the Courts will consider the following:
 - a. Is the proposed prices (for Section B Supplies or Services and Price/Cost) comparable to the independent Courts cost estimate?
 - b. Is the proposed labor/skill mix comparable to the projected Courts skill mix and/or sufficient to meet the Section C requirements based upon the offeror's technical and management approach?
 - c. Are the proposed prices for hardware and software comparable to competitor's prices under this solicitation?
 - d. Are the proposed prices for installing hardware and software comparable to competitor's prices under this solicitation?
 - e. Are the proposed prices for warranty and customer support comparable to competitors' prices under this solicitation?
- M.3.4 <u>Completeness</u>. In evaluating completeness, the Courts will determine if the Offeror's provides pricing data of sufficient detail to fully support the offer and permit the Courts to evaluate the proposal thoroughly. In the evaluation the Courts will consider the following:
 - a. Do the proposed prices include all price elements the offeror is likely to incur in performing the effort?
 - b. Are proposed prices traceable to requirements?
 - c. Do proposed prices account for all requirements?
 - d. Are all proposed prices supported with adequate data to permit a thorough evaluation?

M.4 Prospective Contractor's Responsibility

M.4.1 In order to receive an award under this RFP, the Court's Contracting Officer must

determine that the prospective contractor has the capability in all respects to perform fully the contract requirements. To be deemed responsible, a prospective contractor must establish that it has:

- M.4.1.1 Financial resources adequate to perform the contract, or the ability to obtain them;
- M.4.1.2 Ability to comply with the required or proposed delivery or performance schedule, taking into consideration all existing commercial and governmental business commitments;
- M.4.1.3 A satisfactory record of performance;
- M.4.1.4 The necessary organization, experience, accounting and operational control, and technical skills, or the ability to obtain them;
- M.4.1.5 Compliance with the applicable District licensing, tax laws, and regulations;
- M.3.1.6 The necessary production, construction, and technical equipment and facilities, or the ability to obtain them; and
- M.4.1.7 Other qualifications and eligibility criteria necessary to receive an award under applicable laws and regulations.
- M.4.2 The Courts reserves the right to request from a prospective contractor information necessary to determine the prospective contractor's responsibility. Information is to be submitted upon the request of the Courts within the time specified in the request. Failure of an offeror to comply with a request for information may subject the offeror's proposal to rejection on responsibility grounds. If a prospective contractor fails to supply the requested information, the Court's Contracting Officer shall make the determination of responsibility or nonresponsibility based on available information. If the available information is insufficient to make a determination of nonresponsibility, the Court's Contracting Officer shall determine the offeror to be nonresponsible.